Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

| Bill Number: 1717 HB Title: Biometric identifiers | Agency: | 055-Administrative Office of the Courts |
|---|---------|---|
|---|---------|---|

Part I: Estimates

| √ |] - | NΙα | Eigaal | Immaa |
|---|-----|-----|--------|-------|
| X | | No | Fiscal | Impac |

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

| Legislative Contact | Lily Smith | Phone: 360-786-7175 | Date: 01/31/2017 |
|---------------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Agency Preparation: | Renee Lewis | Phone: 360-704-4142 | Date: 02/03/2017 |
| Agency Approval: | Ramsey Radwan | Phone: 360-357-2406 | Date: 02/03/2017 |
| OFM Review: | Gwen Stamey | Phone: (360) 902-9810 | Date: 02/06/2017 |

Request # 1717 HB-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

New sections would be added to RCW 40 that would say that an agency may not collect, capture, purchase or otherwise obtain a biometric identifier without first providing notice and obtaining the individual's consent.

The assumption is that this legislation does not apply to the judicial branch based on the definitions in the bill.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact